1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA HARVEY EUGENE LARSON, 10 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-04-2521 LKK GGH P 12 VS. 13 L. HINZEHUFF, et al., 14 Defendants. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS 15 By order filed March 29, 2005, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days 16 17 leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and 18 plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order. 19 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed 20 without prejudice. See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 21 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District 22 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty 23 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written 24 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's 25 Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the ///// 26

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	DATED: 6/14/05
4	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	lars2521.fta
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
2223	
23	
25	
26	
	1